INFLUENCE OF DOPING PROFILE OF HIGHLY DOPED REGIONS FOR SELECTIVE Emitter SOLAR CELLS
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ABSTRACT
The influence of the doping profile under the metallization for laser doped selective emitter solar cells is investigated. Laser doping allows profile tailoring to some extent by adapting the pulse energy, resulting in Gaussian doping profiles. Numerical calculations using PC1D show that the doping profile influences the recombination at the metal-semiconductor interface. The value $J_{0\text{e,met}}$ is used to characterize this influence on solar cell level employing calculations with the 2-diode-model. Selective emitter solar cells have been fabricated to validate, whether this effect can be observed on cell level. IV measurements show a dependence of the open circuit voltage on the profile. This is determined to be partly due to a different 2nd diode recombination current $J_{02}$ for different doping profiles underneath the contact. The effect of $J_{0\text{e,met}}$ is also ascertainable.

INTRODUCTION
Selective emitters offer the opportunity to boost cell efficiency in a comparable simple way. A low doping is chosen in the photoactive area, to reduce recombination losses and increase blue response. Underneath the contacts, a highly doped emitter is chosen to ensure a good metal semiconductor contact and provide some shielding of the minorities from the contact.

Laser doping from phosphosilicate glass (PSG) [1-3] offers the possibility to implement a selective emitter in a fast and cost effective way, by introducing a single laser processing step after furnace diffusion. This doping process is characterized by a classical diffusion from a limited doping source, thus resulting in a Gaussian doping profile after resolidification.

LASER DOPED PROFILES

Laser Doping

Laser doping can be regarded as finite source diffusion, yielding Gaussian doping profiles after resolidification. The impurity concentration $C$ after a time $t$ in the depth $z$ is given by

$$ C(z,t) = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{\pi Dt}} \exp \left( \frac{-z^2}{4Dt} \right) $$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

With $Q$ as the total amount of impurities and the diffusion constant $D$. Larger pulse energies lead to deeper and longer melting [4], thus lowering the impurity surface concentration, as eq. (1) implicates. This is shown in Figure 1 exemplarily. Four different melting times and thus doping depths are depicted for a constant value of $Q = 6 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ of phosphorus. The corresponding sheet resistances for a p-type 1 $\Omega$cm wafer are calculated through

$$ R_{\text{sheet}} = \int \frac{\pi}{\mu_n N} dz $$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

using the mobilities $\mu_n$ of the program PC1D [5]. Further, the elementary charge is denoted by $e$, $W$ is the junction depth and $N$ the impurity density in the emitter region. It is assumed that all dopant atoms are electrically active. At high doping concentrations above $N = 4 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ this assumption may not valid due to possible clustering of phosphorus atoms. This may be the case in the shallow doping profile, however authors have reported electrically active dopants above the solid solubility limit [6] after laser annealing to the high temperatures and fast recrystallization [7].

Figure 1: Gaussian doping profiles for different melting times and a constant amount of impurities $Q$ according to eq. (1). The corresponding sheet resistances for each curve are also given.
Shielding of the minorities from the contact

To quantify the impact of a pulse variation in the laser doping process for selective emitter formation on the shielding of the minorities from the contact, numerical simulation has been conducted with the program PC1D Version 5.9. The aim was to extract a value, characterizing the recombination underneath the metal contact. Thus, the emitter saturation current density of a metalized surface, labeled as $J_{0e,met}$ in the following, was calculated for a device in open circuit condition with a homogeneous generation of carriers of 35 mA/cm². A recombination velocity of the carriers at the metallized front side $S_{p0} = 10^7$ cm/s was assumed, with no recombination taking place at the rear or in the bulk. A random pyramid texture and a Gaussian phosphorus emitter doping profile were placed at the front side of the p-type, 2 Ωcm, 250 µm thick device. Thus all recombination takes place in the emitter and at the front side. A variation in the depth and the surface concentration $N_{surf}$ of the emitter was investigated and the open circuit voltage of the device was extracted. The emitter saturation current density $J_{0e,met}$ was calculated through the 1-diode model

$$J_{0e,met} = J_{SC} \cdot \left(\exp\left(\frac{eV_{OC}}{kT}\right) - 1\right)^{-1} \tag{3}$$

With $J_{SC} = 35$ mA/cm² being the total current generated in the device and contributing to the recombination at a temperature of $T = 300$ K. The result is shown in Figure 2.

A clear trend can be seen. A high peak doping concentration and deep junction is strongly beneficial for the reduction of $J_{0e,met}$. This trend has also been reported by other authors [8, 9]. The minimum values for $J_{0e,met}$ that are obtained for the depth factors of the Gaussian profiles are summarized in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d$ (µm)</th>
<th>$J_{0e,met}$ (fA/cm²)</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>1.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>1.570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I: Minimum emitter saturation current densities

The value for the shallowest emitter and the best value differ by a factor of almost 3. This means, the shielding of the minorities in the emitter, the holes, from the metal-semiconductor interface has a strong impact on the resulting $J_{0e,met}$. The implications for a screen printed solar cell featuring a laser doped selective emitter are discussed in the next section.

Impact on solar cell performance

The results of the optimum value for $J_{0e,met}$ are investigated in a selective emitter solar cell structure. For this, the following structure is assumed: a 125x125 mm² monocrystalline solar cell, with a 2 Ωcm, 200 µm thick p-type base with an aluminum back surface field and 2 bus bars. Base and BSF are contributing $J_{0b} = 500$ fA/cm² to the total saturation current density $J_0$. A second diode recombination current of $J_{02} = 20$ nA/cm² is assumed as well as a generation of carriers leading to a short circuit current density of $J_{SC} = 37$ mA/cm². 100 µm wide metallization fingers are 2.0 mm spaced apart and a parallel resistance of $R_P = 10$ kΩcm² is assumed. The front side saturation current density $J_{0e}$ is calculated by an area weighted mean of the illuminated emitter with a $J_{0e,ill} = 150$ fA/cm² (at $R_{sheet} = 100$ Ω/sq) with the metallized fraction, in which the $J_{0e,met}$ (at 15 Ω/sq) is varied. For example, if 7% of the solar cell front side were covered with metallization, $J_{0e,met}$ contributes 7% to the total emitter saturation current density $J_{0e}$. For comparison, a future PERC device is also taken into consideration; it features an improved base ($J_{0e} = 150$ fA/cm²) and emitter ($J_{0e,ill} = 50$ fA/cm²) at a finger width of 60 µm and a finger spacing of 1.6 mm. By using the 2-diode model [10],

$$J(V) = J_0 \left(\exp\left(\frac{V - JR_S}{nV_{th}}\right) - 1\right) +$$

$$+ J_{0e} \left(\exp\left(\frac{V - JR_S}{nV_{th}}\right) - 1\right) + \frac{V - JR_S}{R_P} \tag{4}$$

the open circuit voltage of that device is calculated. The results for $J_{0e}$ and $V_{OC}$ are shown in Table II.
Table II: Impact of the saturation current density of the metalized area on solar cell recombination, in terms of emitter saturation current density $J_{0e}$ and open circuit voltage $V_{OC}$ for the devices described in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$J_{0e,\text{met}}$ [fA/cm²]</th>
<th>Total $J_{0e}$ of Al-BSF SP cell [fA/cm²]</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ of Al-BSF SP cell [mV]</th>
<th>Total $J_{0e}$ of PERC cell [fA/cm²]</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ of PERC cell [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>625.9</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>645.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>628.0</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>649.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>629.2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>652.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>630.4</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>654.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>631.7</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>657.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values of $J_{0e,\text{met}} = 2000$ and $3000$ fA/cm² are obtained, if little or no shielding is provided for a shallow diffused emitter. These calculations show, that $J_{0e,\text{met}}$ can have a minor impact ($\sim 1\%$ in $V_{OC}$) on the performance of the cell. However, when other recombination paths are eliminated in the cell, this impact can go up to $2\%$ of the open circuit voltage. Thus, an improper choice of doping profile underneath the metal contact can result in a loss of efficiency of about $0.2\%_{\text{abs}}$ for $\eta = 18.5\%$ screen printed Al–BSF cell, or up to $0.4\%_{\text{abs}}$ for $\eta = 19.5\%$ PERC cell.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Solar cells with selective emitters fabricated, featuring a $25/120\ \Omega$/sq selective emitter. The processing sequence is shown in Figure 3. 200 µm thick, $125\times125$ mm² p-type Cz silicon with a base resistivity of $2\ \Omega\ \text{cm}$ was used. As a reference, solar cells with a homogenous emitter with a sheet resistance of $R_{\text{sheet}} = 65\ \Omega$/sq were also processed. For each emitter, an optimized grid was used. Laser doping was done with a frequency tripled Nd:YVO₄ DPSSL at a wavelength of $\lambda = 355$ nm and a pulse length of approximately $t_{\text{laser}} = 25$ ns. Two different pulse energies were chosen to achieve highly doped regions with sheet resistances of 26 and $23\ \Omega$/sq, denoted in the following as low and high pulse energy $E_p$, respectively. The laser doped areas were chosen broad to insure a good alignment between the laser processed geometry and the screen printed metallization.

**RESULTS**

**Resulting profiles**

Figure 4 depicts the doping profiles determined by a Secondary Ion Mass Spectroscopy measurement (SIMS). These profiles were acquired from laser doped samples on a shiny etched surface, which were laser processed with the same parameters as the solar cells. However, the pulse energy was adapted in order to achieve the same sheet resistance as on the alkali textured surfaces.
For these profiles, the PC1D analysis as described above for \( J_{0e,met} \) was performed and resulted in the values summarized in Table III. It can be seen, that the high doping to below 30 \( \Omega/sq \) is beneficial for the suppression of \( J_{0e,met} \). The authors noted that the program PC1D gave systematically too low values for the calculated sheet resistance via eq. (1). This is attributed to the parameterization of the mobility \( \mu \) of the carriers. Masetti et al. presented investigations and a different parameterization for \( \mu \) [11] which differs from the one used in PC1D at high doping. Using this mobility model, the agreement between the calculated sheet resistance from the doping profile and measured sheet resistance was better.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emitter</th>
<th>120 ( \Omega/sq )</th>
<th>23 ( \Omega/sq )</th>
<th>26 ( \Omega/sq )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( J_{0e,met} ) [fA/cm²]</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III: PC1D analysis for the profiles in figure 4 to obtain \( J_{0e,met} \)

Open circuit voltage

Figure 5 shows the measured open circuit voltages \( V_{OC} \) for the processed solar cells. Selective emitter solar cells feature about 6 mV increase in \( V_{OC} \) compared to reference cell featuring a industrial homogeneous emitter. A notable difference in \( V_{OC} \) comparing the low and the high pulse energy can be observed. Although the sheet resistances of the two profiles seem comparable, i.e. 26 and 23 \( \Omega/sq \), the recombination properties on cell level exhibit differences. However, the expected difference of only 1-2 mV (see Table II and III) is exceeded.

![Open circuit voltage \( V_{OC} \) over firing set temperatures \( T \) for the processed solar cells. The data points represent the mean of 5 cells and the standard deviation is indicated by the error bars.](image)

The dark IV data of the cells was fitted to the 2-diode-model (eq. (4)) with the ideality factors of the diodes fix at \( n_1=1 \) and \( n_2=2 \). The 2\( ^{nd} \) diode recombination current \( J_{02} \) was then extracted for the cells. For the reference cells and the cells with the high pulse energy, a value of \( J_{02} = 20 \) nA/cm² was determined.

The solar cells with the selective doping of 26 \( \Omega/sq \) show an enhanced 2\( ^{nd} \) diode recombination current which is about 15-20 nA/cm² higher than for the reference cells and the cells processed with the high pulse energy.

An extended analysis was performed to see, whether the expected influence of \( J_{0e,met} \) can be observed on cell level: The geometry of the processed cells was considered, the \( J_{0e} \) values of the highly doped, illuminated areas (26 \( \Omega/sq \): 1400 fA/cm² and 23 \( \Omega/sq \): 1200 fA/cm²), as well as the value for the 120 \( \Omega/sq \) (\( J_{0e} = 260 \) fA/cm²) emitter were measured in a separate experiment. These values were put into the calculation using the 2-diode-model. The value for \( J_{0b} \) was adapted to reproduce the measured open circuit voltage of the solar cells for the 23 \( \Omega/sq \) selective doping at FFO temperature of 880°C. This is indicated by the two values in italics in Table IV. Then, the influence of \( J_{02} \) and \( J_{0e,met} \) was subsequently applied to the cells and is shown in Table IV.

![Diagram showing the open circuit voltage \( V_{OC} \) for different firing set temperatures \( T \).](image)

Table IV: Analysis of the losses induced by \( J_{02} \) and \( J_{0e,met} \) employing the 2-diode-model. The values for \( J_{0b} \) were determined by adapting its value so the open circuit voltage of the IV measurements is reproduced for the solar cells at \( T=880°C \) with 23 \( \Omega/sq \) selective doping.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFO set ( T = 880°C )</th>
<th>FFO set ( T = 900°C )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( V_{OC} ) [mV]</td>
<td>( V_{OC} ) [mV]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sel. High doping</td>
<td>Sel. High doping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 ( \Omega/sq )</td>
<td>26 ( \Omega/sq )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measured</td>
<td>618.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_{02} = 20 ) nA/cm²</td>
<td>618.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_{02} = 35 ) nA/cm²</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With effect of ( J_{0e,met} )</td>
<td>618.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis reveals, that the reduction in the open circuit voltage \( V_{OC} \) for the shallower profile has two origins: partly it is due to an increased 2\( ^{nd} \) diode recombination current \( J_{02} \), but also to a larger \( J_{0e,met} \). The combined influence of \( J_{02} \) and \( J_{0e,met} \) accounts correctly for the observed differences in the open circuit voltage.

This analysis was not performed for the fast firing set temperature of 900°C as signs of overfiring were visible and the value of \( J_{02} \) from the 2-diode-model fit was not considered to be reliable.

The authors also ascertained a strong impact of the doping profile on the short circuit current density of the solar cells. This is due to the inclusion of large alignment tolerances for the subsequent metallization process, as the highly doped area was chosen broad. However, so far the quantitative analysis of this effect did not yield the observed measurements. The authors attribute this to the fact, that the so far not determined recombination velocity \( S_{rec} \) of the nitride passivated, highly doped, illuminated area has a strong influence to the calculations in PC1D.
Investigations considering this aspect are ongoing, but the diminution of the alignment tolerances is highly beneficial for the solar cell, as less highly doped area is illuminated. This analysis is currently ongoing.

CONCLUSION

The influence of the doping profile underneath the metal contact for a laser doped selective emitter solar cell was investigated. An improper chosen doping profile can lead to insufficient suppression of the recombination at the metal-semiconductor interface. Solar cells reveal a dependence of the open circuit voltage on the doping profile. A shallower profile is detrimental, as both an increased $J_{02}$ is observed for the cells and increased value of $J_{0e,met}$ leading to a lower $V_{OC}$.
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